# Aspects of Dyson-Schwinger approach to light-quark pseudoscalar mesons at zero and finite temperature<sup>a</sup>

D. Klabučar<sup>b</sup> on some results of collaboration with D. Horvatić<sup>b</sup>, D. Blaschke<sup>c,d</sup>, A. E. Radzhabov<sup>c</sup>, A. Krassnigg<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Talk at "Non-Perturbative Functional Methods in Quantum Field Theory" A Triangle Workshop in Theoretical Particle Physics, Jan. 23 - 25, 2008, Hévíz, Hungary

> <sup>b</sup>Physics Department, University of Zagreb, Croatia <sup>c</sup>University of Wroclaw, Poland, <sup>d</sup>JINR Dubna, Russia <sup>e</sup>University of Graz, Austria

# **Introduction and motivation**

- Recent RHIC results: hot QCD matter has very intricate properties ... & still no direct signal of deconfinement
- If hot QCD matter called "QGP", this cannot be the once expected perturbatively interacting quark-guon gas until much higher T
- Also, lattice (& other):  $J/\Psi$  and  $\eta_c$  stay bound till ~  $2T_{cri}$ , maybe higher ... + similar indications about light-quark mesons = motivation to study *bound-state equations*
- RHIC's STAR collab.: 'A compelling, "smoking gun" signal for production of a new form of matter needed!'
- E.g., a change in symmetries obeyed by the strong interaction: the restoration of the chiral and  $U_A(1)$  symmetry  $\longrightarrow$  a good understanding of the *light* pseudoscalar nonet is needed

# **Dyson-Schwinger approach to quark-hadron physics**

- the bound state approach which is nopertubative, covariant and chirally well behaved (e.g., GMOR relation:  $\lim_{\tilde{m}_q \to 0} M_{q\bar{q}}^2/2\tilde{m}_q = -\langle \bar{q}q \rangle / f_\pi^2$ )
- a) direct contact with QCD through ab initio calculations
- b) phenomenological modeling of hadrons as quark bound states (e.g., here)
- coupled system of integral equations for Green functions of QCD
- ... but ... equation for n-point function calls (n+1)-point function ...  $\rightarrow$  cannot solve in full the growing tower of DS equations
- → various degrees of truncations, approximations and modeling is unavoidable (more so in phenomenological modeling of hadrons, as here)

### **Dyson-Schwinger approach to quark-hadron physics**

• Gap equation for propagator  $S_q$  of dressed quark q



Homogeneous Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equation for a Meson  $q\bar{q}$  bound state vertex  $\Gamma_{q\bar{q}}$ 



Aspects of Dyson-Schwinger approach tolight-guark pseudoscalar mesons at zero and finite temperature a - p. 4/36

#### **Gap and BS equations in ladder truncation**

$$S_{q}(p)^{-1} = i\gamma \cdot p + \tilde{m}_{q} + \frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^{4}\ell}{(2\pi)^{4}} g^{2} G_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(p-\ell)\gamma_{\mu} S_{q}(\ell)\gamma_{\nu}$$

$$\rightarrow S_q(p) = \frac{1}{i \not p A_q(p^2) + B_q(p^2)} = \frac{-i \not p A_q(p^2) + B_q(p^2)}{p^2 A_q(p^2)^2 + B_q(p^2)^2} = \frac{1}{A_q(p^2)} \frac{-i \not p + m_q(p^2)}{p^2 + m_q(p^2)^2}$$

$$\Gamma_{q\bar{q}'}(p,P) = -\frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} g^2 G^{\text{eff}}_{\mu\nu}(p-\ell) \gamma_{\mu} S_q(\ell + \frac{P}{2}) \Gamma_{q\bar{q}'}(\ell,P) S_q(\ell - \frac{P}{2}) \gamma_{\nu}$$

- Euclidean space:  $\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}, \gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \gamma_{\mu}, a \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i b_i$
- P is the total momentum,  $M^2 = -P^2$  meson mass<sup>2</sup>
- $G_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  an "effective gluon propagator" modeled !

#### From the gap and BS equations ...

solutions of the gap equation  $\rightarrow$  the <u>dressed</u> quark mass function

$$m_q(p^2) = \frac{B_q(p^2)}{A_q(p^2)}$$

propagator solutions  $A_q(p^2)$  and  $B_q(p^2)$  pertain to confined quarks if

$$m_q^2(p^2) \neq -p^2$$
 for real  $p^2$ 

The BS solutions  $\Gamma_{q\bar{q}'}$  enable the calculation of the properties of  $q\bar{q}$  bound states, such as the decay constants of pseudoscalar mesons:

$$f_{PS} P_{\mu} = \langle 0 | \bar{q} \frac{\lambda^{PS}}{2} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q | \Phi_{PS}(P) \rangle$$
  
$$\longrightarrow f_{\pi} P_{\mu} = N_{c} \operatorname{tr}_{s} \int \frac{d^{4} \ell}{(2\pi)^{4}} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} S(\ell + P/2) \Gamma_{\pi}(\ell; P) S(\ell - P/2)$$

# **Renormalization-group improved interactions**

Landau gauge gluon propagator :  $g^2 G^{\text{eff}}_{\mu\nu}(k) = G(-k^2)(-g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{k^2}),$ 

$$G(Q^2) \equiv 4\pi \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{eff}}(Q^2)}{Q^2} = G_{\text{UV}}(Q^2) + G_{\text{IR}}(Q^2), \qquad Q^2 \equiv -k^2$$

$$G_{\text{UV}}(Q^2) = 4\pi \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{pert}}(Q^2)}{Q^2} \approx \frac{4\pi^2 d}{Q^2 \ln(x_0 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2})} \left\{ 1 + b \frac{\ln[\ln(x_0 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2})]}{\ln(x_0 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2})} \right\},$$

but modeled non-perturbative part, e.g., Jain & Munczek:

 $G_{\mathsf{IR}}(Q^2) = G_{\mathsf{non-pert}}(Q^2) = 4\pi^2 a Q^2 \exp(-\mu Q^2)$  (similar : Maris, Roberts...)

• or, the dressed propagator with dim. 2 gluon condensate  $\langle A^2 \rangle$ -induced dynamical gluon mass (Kekez & Klabučar):

$$G(Q^2) = 4\pi \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{pert}}(Q^2)}{Q^2} \left( \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 - M_{\text{gluon}}^2 + \frac{c_{\text{ghost}}}{Q^2}} \right)^2 \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + M_{\text{gluon}}^2 + \frac{c_{\text{gluon}}}{Q^2}} \ . \ \_$$

Some effective strong couplings  $\alpha_s^{\text{eff}}(Q^2) \equiv Q^2 G(Q^2)/4\pi$ 



Blue = Munczek & Jain model. Red = K & K propagator with  $\langle A^2 \rangle$ -induced dynamical gluon mass. Green = Alkofer. Magenta = Bloch. Turquoise dashed: Maris, Roberts & Tandy model. Important: integrated IR strength must be sufficient for DChSB!

#### Separable model = good, + easier at T > 0

Calculations simplify with the separable Ansatz for  $G_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}$ :

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(p-q) \to \delta_{\mu\nu} G(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q)$$

$$G(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q) = D_0 f_0(p^2) f_0(q^2) + D_1 f_1(p^2) (p \cdot q) f_1(q^2)$$

• two strength parameters  $D_0, D_1$ , and corresponding form factors  $f_i(p^2)$ . In the separable model, gap equation yields

$$B_f(p^2) = \tilde{m}_f + \frac{16}{3} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} G(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q) \frac{B_f(q^2)}{q^2 A_f^2(q^2) + B_f^2(q^2)}$$
$$[A_f(p^2) - 1] p^2 = \frac{8}{3} \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} G(p^2, q^2, p \cdot q) \frac{(p \cdot q)A_f(q^2)}{q^2 A_f^2(q^2) + B_f^2(q^2)}.$$

• This gives  $B_f(p^2) = \tilde{m}_f + b_f f_0(p^2)$  and  $A_f(p^2) = 1 + a_f f_1(p^2)$ , reducing to nonlinear equations for constants  $b_f$  and  $a_f$ .

#### A simple choice for 'interaction form factors' of the separable model:

• 
$$f_0(p^2) = \exp(-p^2/\Lambda_0^2)$$

•  $f_1(p^2) = [1 + \exp(-p_0^2/\Lambda_1^2)]/[1 + \exp((p^2 - p_0^2))/\Lambda_1^2]$ gives good description of pseudoscalar properties if the interaction is strong enough for realistic DChSB, when  $m_{u,d}(p^2 \sim small) \sim$  the typical constituent quark mass scale  $\sim m_\rho/2 \sim m_N/3$ .



# Nonperturbative dynamical propagator dressing

----> Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking (DChSB)



## **DChSB = nonperturb. generation of large quark masses ...**

• ... even in the chiral limit ( $\tilde{m}_f \rightarrow 0$ ), where the octet pseudoscalar mesons are Goldstone bosons of DChSB!



# At T = 0, good DS results; e.g., "non-anomalous":

- Separable model parameter values reproducing experimental data:
- $\tilde{m}_{u,d} = 5.5 \text{ MeV}, \Lambda_0 = 758 \text{ MeV}, \Lambda_1 = 961 \text{ MeV}, p_0 = 600 \text{ MeV}, D_0 \Lambda_0^2 = 219, D_1 \Lambda_1^4 = 40$  (fixed by fitting  $M_{\pi}, f_{\pi}, M_{\rho}, g_{\rho\pi^+\pi^-}, g_{\rho e^+e^-}$  $\rightarrow$  pertinent predictions  $a_{u,d} = 0.672, b_{u,d} = 660 \text{ MeV}, \text{ i.e., } m_{u,d}(p^2), \langle \bar{u}u \rangle$ )
- $\widetilde{m}_s = 115 \text{ MeV}$  (fixed by fitting  $M_K \rightarrow \text{predictions } a_s = 0.657, b_s = 998$ MeV, i.e.,  $m_s(p^2)$ ,  $\langle \overline{s}s \rangle$ ,  $M_{s\overline{s}}$ ,  $f_K$ ,  $f_{s\overline{s}}$ )
- Summary of results (all in GeV) for q = u, d, s and pseudoscalar mesons without the influence of gluon anomaly:

PS	$M_{PS}$	$M_{PS}^{exp}$	$f_{PS}$	$f_{PS}^{exp}$	$m_q(0)$	$-\langle q\bar{q}\rangle_0^{1/3}$
π	0.140	0.1396	0.092	$0.0924 \pm 0.0003$	0.398	0.217
K	0.495	0.4937	0.110	$0.1130 \pm 0.0010$		
$S\overline{S}$	0.685		0.119		0.672	

# **Extension to** $T \neq 0$

- At  $T \neq 0$ , the quark 4-momentum  $p \longrightarrow p_n = (\omega_n, \vec{p})$ , where  $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T$  are the discrete ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ ) Matsubara frequencies, so that  $p_n^2 = \omega_n^2 + \vec{p}^2$ .
- Gap equation solution for the dressed quark propagator

$$S_f(p_n, T) = [i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p} A_f(p_n^2, T) + i\gamma_4\omega_n C_f(p_n^2, T) + B_f(p_n^2, T)]^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{-i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p} A_f(p_n^2, T) - i\gamma_4 \omega_n C_f(p_n^2, T) + B_f(p_n^2, T)}{\vec{p}^2 A_f^2(p_n^2, T) + \omega_n^2 C_f^2(p_n^2, T) + B_f^2(p_n^2, T)}$$

• There are now three amplitudes due to the loss of O(4) symmetry, and at sufficiently high  $T \ge T_d$  denominator CAN vanish.  $\longrightarrow$  For  $T \ge T_d$  quarks can be deconfined!

#### At T > 0, good and less good DS results

E.g., chiral symmetry restoration qualitatively good, but  $T_{Ch}$  lower than lattice (maybe up to 35%, and even more for 'more realistic' DS models unless they contain  $\delta$ -function):



## Same with pseudoscalar decay constants $f_P(T)$ :

Both crossover and Ch-limit behavior OK, but  $T_{\rm Ch}=128~{\rm MeV}$  ... cured by Polyakov loop (PL  $\to T_{\rm Ch}=195~{\rm MeV}$  )



Aspects of Dyson-Schwinger approach tolight-guark pseudoscalar mesons at zero and finite temperature $^a$  – p. 16/36

#### Similarly with the *T*-dependence of $\pi, K, s\bar{s}, \sigma$ masses:

'Deconfinement'  $T_{d,q}$  from  $S_q$  pole - very different  $T_{d,u}$ ,  $T_{d,s}$ ... should also be cured/synchronized with  $T_{Ch}(=T_{cri})$  by PL



- present approach yields mass<sup>2</sup> eigenvalues  $M_{u\bar{d}}^2 = M_{\pi^+}^2, M_{u\bar{s}}^2 = M_K^2, ..., \hat{M}_{NA}^2 = \text{diag}(M_{u\bar{u}}^2, M_{d\bar{d}}^2, M_{s\bar{s}}^2)$
- $|u\bar{d}\rangle = |\pi^+\rangle, |u\bar{s}\rangle = |K^+\rangle, \dots$  but  $|u\bar{u}\rangle, |d\bar{d}\rangle$  and  $|s\bar{s}\rangle$  do not correspond to any physical particles (at T = 0 at least!), although in the isospin limit (adopted from now on)  $M_{u\bar{u}} = M_{d\bar{d}} = M_{u\bar{d}} = M_{\pi}$ . *I* is a good quantum number!

•  $\longrightarrow$  recouple into the familiar  $I_3 = 0$  octet-singlet basis

$$|\pi^{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|u\bar{u}\rangle - |d\bar{d}\rangle),$$
  

$$|\eta_{8}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(|u\bar{u}\rangle + |d\bar{d}\rangle - 2|s\bar{s}\rangle),$$
  

$$|\eta_{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|u\bar{u}\rangle + |d\bar{d}\rangle + |s\bar{s}\rangle).$$

• the "non-anomalous" (chiral-limit-vanishing!) part of the mass-squared matrix of  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$ 's is (in  $\pi^0$ - $\eta_8$ - $\eta_0$  basis)

$$\hat{M}_{NA}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pi}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{88}^2 & M_{80}^2 \\ 0 & M_{08}^2 & M_{00}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{88}^2 \equiv \langle \eta_8 | \hat{M}_{NA}^2 | \eta_8 \rangle \equiv M_{\eta_8}^2 = \frac{2}{3} (M_{s\bar{s}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} M_{\pi}^2),$$

$$M_{80}^2 \equiv \langle \eta_8 | \hat{M}_{NA}^2 | \eta_0 \rangle = M_{08}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} (M_\pi^2 - M_{s\bar{s}}^2)$$

$$M_{00}^2 \equiv \langle \eta_0 | \hat{M}_{NA}^2 | \eta_0 \rangle = \frac{2}{3} (\frac{1}{2} M_{s\bar{s}}^2 + M_{\pi}^2),$$

in order to avoid the U<sub>A</sub>(1) problem, U<sub>A</sub>(1) symmetry must ultimately be broken by gluon anomaly at least at the level of the masses

- All masses in  $\hat{M}_{NA}^2$  are calculated in the ladder approx., which cannot include the gluon anomaly!
- Large  $N_c$ : the gluon anomaly suppressed as  $1/N_c! \rightarrow$ Include its effect just at the level of masses: break the  $U_A(1)$  symmetry and avoid the  $U_A(1)$  problem by shifting the  $\eta_0$  (squared) mass by anomalous contribution  $3\beta$ .
- complete mass matrix is then  $\hat{M}^2 = \hat{M}_{NA}^2 + \hat{M}_A^2$  where

 $3\beta$ , the anomalous mass of  $\eta_0$ , is related to the topological susceptibility of the vacuum. It is fixed by phenomenology or taken from the lattice calculations.

 $\blacksquare$  we can also rewrite  $\hat{M}_A^2$  in the  $q\bar{q}$  basis  $|u\bar{u}\rangle$ ,  $|d\bar{d}\rangle$ ,  $|s\bar{s}\rangle$ 

$$\hat{M}_{A}^{2} = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{flavor}} \hat{M}_{A}^{2} = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & X \\ 1 & 1 & X \\ X & X & X^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
breaking

- We introduced the effects of the flavor breaking on the anomaly-induced transitions  $|q\bar{q}\rangle \rightarrow |q'\bar{q}'\rangle$  (q,q'=u,d,s).  $s\bar{s}$  transition suppression estimated by  $X \approx f_{\pi}/f_{s\bar{s}}$ .
- **•** Then,  $\hat{M}_A^2$  in the octet-singlet basis is modified to

$$\hat{M}_A^2 = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3}(1-X)^2 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(2-X-X^2) \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(2-X-X^2) & \frac{1}{3}(2+X)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

● → In the isospin limit, one can always restrict to  $2 \times 2$  submatrix of etas

nonstrange (NS) – strange (S) basis

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{NS} \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|u\bar{u}\rangle + |d\bar{d}\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |\eta_8\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\eta_0\rangle ,\\ |\eta_S\rangle &= |s\bar{s}\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\eta_8\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |\eta_0\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

• the  $\eta$ - $\eta'$  matrix in this basis is

$$\hat{M}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\eta_{NS}}^2 & M_{\eta_S\eta_{NS}}^2 \\ M_{\eta_{NS}\eta_S}^2 & M_{\eta_S}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{u\bar{u}}^2 + 2\beta & \sqrt{2}\beta X \\ \sqrt{2}\beta X & M_{s\bar{s}}^2 + \beta X^2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} m_{\eta}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{\eta'}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

NS–S mixing relations

$$|\eta\rangle = \cos\phi|\eta_{NS}\rangle - \sin\phi|\eta_S\rangle$$
,  $|\eta'\rangle = \sin\phi|\eta_{NS}\rangle + \cos\phi|\eta_S\rangle$ .

$$\theta = \phi - \arctan \sqrt{2}$$

• Let lowercase  $m_M$ 's denote the empirical mass of meson M. From our calculated, model mass matrix in NS–S basis, we form its empirical counterpart  $\hat{m}_{exp}^2$  by

● i) obvious substitutions  $M_{u\bar{u}} \equiv M_{\pi} \rightarrow m_{\pi}$ ,  $M_{s\bar{s}} \rightarrow m_{s\bar{s}}$ 

■ *ii*) by noting that  $m_{s\bar{s}}$ , the "empirical" mass of the unphysical  $s\bar{s}$  pseudoscalar bound state, is given in terms of masses of physical particles as  $m_{s\bar{s}}^2 \approx 2m_K^2 - m_\pi^2$  due to GMOR. Then,

$$\hat{m}_{\exp}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} m_{\pi}^2 + 2\beta & \sqrt{2}\beta X \\ \sqrt{2}\beta X & 2m_K^2 - m_{\pi}^2 + \beta X^2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} m_{\eta}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{\eta'}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

### **Finally, fix anomalous contribution to** $\eta$ **-** $\eta$ **':**

requiring that the experimental trace  $(m_{\eta}^2 + m_{\eta'}^2)_{exp} \approx 1.22 \text{ GeV}^2$  be reproduced by
the theoretical  $\hat{M}^2$ , yields  $\beta_{\text{fit}} = \frac{1}{2+X^2} [(m_{\eta}^2 + m_{\eta'}^2)_{exp} - (M_{u\bar{u}}^2 + M_{s\bar{s}}^2)]$ 

- But better get  $\beta$  from lattice  $\chi$ ! Then no free parameters!
- $\checkmark$  the trace of the empirical  $\hat{m}_{exp}^2$  demands the  $1^{st}$  equality in

$$\beta(2+X^2) = m_\eta^2 + m_{\eta'}^2 - 2m_K^2 = \frac{2N_f}{f_\pi^2} \chi_{\mathbf{YM}} \quad (2^{\text{nd}}\text{equality} = \text{WV relation})$$

• then, the NS - S mixing angle  $\phi$ 

$$\tan 2\phi = \frac{2M_{\eta_S\eta_{NS}}^2}{M_{\eta_S}^2 - M_{\eta_{NS}}^2} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\beta}X}{M_{\eta_S}^2 - M_{\eta_{NS}}^2},$$

$$M_{\eta_{NS}}^2 = M_{u\bar{u}}^2 + 2\beta = M_{\pi}^2 + 2\beta, \quad M_{\eta_S}^2 = M_{s\bar{s}}^2 + \beta X^2 = M_{s\bar{s}}^2 + \beta \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{f_{s\bar{s}}^2}$$

• The diagonalization of the NS - S mass matrix then finally gives us the *calculated*  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  masses:

$$M_{\eta}^{2} = \cos^{2} \phi M_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} - \sqrt{2}\beta X \sin 2\phi + \sin^{2} \phi M_{\eta_{S}}^{2}$$
$$M_{\eta'}^{2} = \sin^{2} \phi M_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} + \sqrt{2}\beta X \sin 2\phi + \cos^{2} \phi M_{\eta_{S}}^{2}$$

#### Equivalently, from the secular determinant,

$$\begin{split} M_{\eta}^{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ M_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} + M_{\eta_{S}}^{2} - \sqrt{(M_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} - M_{\eta_{S}}^{2})^{2} + 8\beta^{2}X^{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ M_{\pi}^{2} + M_{s\bar{s}}^{2} + \beta(2 + X^{2}) - \sqrt{(M_{\pi}^{2} + 2\beta - M_{s\bar{s}}^{2} - \beta X^{2})^{2} + 8\beta^{2}X^{2}} \right] \\ M_{\eta'}^{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ M_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} + M_{\eta_{S}}^{2} + \sqrt{(M_{\eta_{NS}}^{2} - M_{\eta_{S}}^{2})^{2} + 8\beta^{2}X^{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ M_{\pi}^{2} + M_{s\bar{s}}^{2} + \beta(2 + X^{2}) + \sqrt{(M_{\pi}^{2} + 2\beta - M_{s\bar{s}}^{2} - \beta X^{2})^{2} + 8\beta^{2}X^{2}} \right] \end{split}$$

Separable model results on  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  mesons (at T = 0)

	$eta_{ ext{fit}}$	$\beta_{\text{latt.}}$	Exp.
$\theta$	-12.22°	-13.92°	
$M_{\eta}$	548.9	543.1	547.75
$M_{\eta'}$	958.5	932.5	957.78
X	0.772	0.772	
3eta	0.845	0.781	

- masses are in units of MeV,  $3\beta$  in units of GeV<sup>2</sup> and the mixing angles are dimensionless.
- $\beta_{\text{latt.}}$  was obtained from  $\chi_{\text{YM}}(T=0) = (175.7 \text{ MeV})^4$
- $X = f_{\pi}/f_{s\bar{s}}$  as well as the whole  $\hat{M}_{NA}^2$  (consisting of  $M_{\pi}$  and  $M_{s\bar{s}}$ ) are calculated model quantities.

### $\chi$ , topological susceptibility of QCD vacuum, at T > 0



$$\chi = \int d^4x \, \langle q(x)q(0) \rangle \,, \qquad q(x) = \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\rho\sigma}(x)$$

• q(x) = topological charge density operator

## **Relative temperature** $(T/T_{\chi})$ dependence of meson masses

 $\chi = \chi_{YM} \rightarrow Pisarski-Wilczek scenario only for very unrealistically low <math>T_{\chi}/T_{Ch}$  ratio

M<sub>P</sub>[GeV]



# **Relative temperature** $(T/T_{\chi})$ dependence of meson masses

 $\chi = \chi_{YM}$  implies  $\eta'$  mass increase  $\rightarrow$  suppression of the  $\eta'$  multiplicity already for still unrealistically low topological susceptibility-melting temperature  $T_{\chi} \gtrsim 0.8 T_{\rm Ch}$ 



calar mesons at zero and finite temperature a – p. 29/36 Aspects of Dyson-Schwinger approach tolight-guark pse

## **Relative temperature** $(T/T_{\chi})$ dependence of meson masses

 $-\chi = \chi_{YM}$  implies a huge  $\eta'$  mass increase, to 5 GeV for  $T_{\chi} = T_{Ch}$ , and even more for  $T_{\chi} > T_{Ch} \rightarrow$  total suppression of the  $\eta'$  multiplicity = signature of Ch symm. restoration **OR** of the failure of WV relation



vskip -2mm

### The T-dependence of the NS-S mixing angle $\phi$ for $\chi_{\rm YM}$



 $\phi(T)$  for  $T_{\chi} = 2/3 T_{\rm Ch}$  (dash-dotted curve),  $T_{\chi} = 0.758 T_{\rm Ch}$  (dashed curve),  $T_{\chi} = 0.836 T_{\rm Ch}$  (dotted curve), and  $T_{\chi} = T_{\rm Ch}$  (solid curve).

# Shore's generalization of WV – valid to all orders in $1/N_c$

Inclusion of gluon anomaly in DGMOR relations  $\rightarrow$ 

 $(f^{0\eta'})^2 m_{\eta'}^2 + (f^{0\eta})^2 m_{\eta}^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 + 2 f_K^2 m_K^2 \right) + 6A \quad (1)$ 

$$f^{0\eta'}f^{8\eta'}m_{\eta'}^2 + f^{0\eta}f^{8\eta}m_{\eta}^2 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left( f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 - f_K^2 m_K^2 \right)$$
(2)

$$(f^{8\eta'})^2 m_{\eta'}^2 + (f^{8\eta})^2 m_{\eta}^2 = -\frac{1}{3} \left( f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 - 4 f_K^2 m_K^2 \right)$$
(3)

 $A = \chi_{\mathbf{YM}} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N_c}) = \text{full QCD topological charge.}$ (1)+(3)->

$$(f^{0\eta'})^2 m_{\eta'}^2 + (f^{0\eta})^2 m_{\eta}^2 + (f^{8\eta})^2 m_{\eta}^2 + (f^{8\eta'})^2 m_{\eta'}^2 - 2f_K^2 m_K^2 = 6A$$

• Then, large  $N_c$  limit and  $f^{0\eta}, f^{8\eta'} \to 0$  as well as  $f^{0\eta'}, f^{8\eta}, f_K \to f_{\pi}$  recovers the standard WV.

### $M_P$ for 4-flavor QCD topological susceptibility

 $\chi_{4fQCD}$  melts faster than  $\chi_{YM}$ . Thus,  $\chi = \chi_{4fQCD}$  enables  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  multiplicity increase ('Pisarski-Wilczek scenario') at higher  $T_{\chi}/T_{Ch}$ 



#### $M_P$ for 4-flavor QCD topological susceptibility

 $\chi = \chi_{4fQCD}$  at the preferred choice  $T_{\chi} = T_{Ch}$  leads to the reasonable increases and then fall-offs of  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  masses



#### $M_P$ for 4-flavor QCD topological susceptibility

 $\chi = \chi_{4fQCD}$  for  $T_{\chi} > T_{Ch}$  leads to  $\eta'$  mass increases, but noticeably more moderate than in the YM case.



# **Summary**

- At T = 0, well- and long-known successful DS description of  $I \neq 0$  pseudoscalars in many models ... but ALSO of the  $\eta \eta'$  complex thanks to WV relation
- This includes the separable model, after successful extension to strange sector
- Separable model easier for T > 0 calculations, but illustrates some general features
- Generally, DS approach has good features also at T > 0, but synchronization of deconfinement and chiral restoration temperatures needed (D. Horvatić talk on PL)
- Main point the T > 0 extension of the DS treatment of  $\eta \eta'$  [PRD 76, 096009 (2007)].
- Results on  $\eta \eta'$  complex at  $T \neq 0$  DIFFER VERY MUCH for various possible topological susceptibilities  $\chi$
- They also differ much for various possible relationships between the chiral restoration and  $\chi$ -melting temperatures  $\rightarrow$  stresses the importance of synchronization of various characteristic temperatures
- Possible signal, especially from  $\eta'$ , in hot QCD matter:  $\eta'$  suppression  $\rightarrow$  chiral restoration, otherwise indication of breakdown of strict WV relation (with  $\chi_{YM}$ )